UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
William P. Wilbar IV,	)	Proceeding No. D2025-29
	)	
Respondent	)	
	)	

FINAL ORDER PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 11.24

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24, William P. Wilbar IV ("Respondent") is hereby excluded from the practice of patent, trademark, and other non-patent law before the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO" or "Office"), for violation of 37 C.F.R. § 11.804(h).

Background

On December 18, 2025, a "Notice and Order Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24" ("Notice and Order") was sent by certified mail (receipt no. 7021 2720 0002 1229 1138) notifying Respondent that the Director of the Office of Enrollment and Discipline ("OED Director") had filed a "Complaint for Reciprocal Discipline Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24" ("Complaint") requesting that the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office impose reciprocal discipline upon Respondent identical to the discipline imposed by the January 6, 2025 Order of the Supreme Court of California in *In re William Peyton Wilbar IV*, Case No. S286874, disbarring Respondent from the practice of law in that jurisdiction based on ethical grounds. The Supreme Court of California disbarred Respondent following his conviction under California Health and Safety Code sections 11364(a) (possession of drug paraphernalia) and 11377(a) (possession of a controlled substance) and California Penal Code section 452(d) (unlawfully causing fire to property). Mr. Wilbar failed to file a response to the notice of hearing issued by the State Bar Court of California, and his default was entered.

The Notice and Order provided Respondent an opportunity to file, within forty (40) days, a response opposing the imposition of reciprocal discipline identical to that imposed by the January 6, 2025 Order of the Supreme Court of California in *In re William Peyton Wilbar IV*, Case No. S286874, based on one or more of the reasons provided in 37 C.F.R. § 11.24(d)(1).

The Notice and Order was not able to be delivered to the Respondent. The Notice and Order was thus also published in the Official Gazette on March 3, 2026 and March 10, 2026. Respondent has not filed a response to the Notice and Order.

Analysis

In light of Respondent's failure to file a response, it is hereby determined that there is no genuine issue of material fact under 37 C.F.R. § 11.24(d) and Respondent's exclusion from the practice of patent, trademark, and other non-patent law before the USPTO is the appropriate discipline.

ACCORDINGLY, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Respondent is excluded from the practice of patent, trademark, and other non-patent law before the USPTO[1];

2. Respondent shall remain excluded from the practice of patent, trademark, and other non-patent law before the USPTO until the OED Director grants a petition requesting Respondent's reinstatement pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.60;

3. As a condition of being reinstated, Respondent shall retake and attain a passing score on the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination;

4. The OED Director shall electronically publish the Final Order at OED's electronic FOIA Reading Room, which is publicly accessible at: http://foiadocuments.uspto.gov;

[1] An excluded practitioner shall be eligible to petition for reinstatement no earlier than five years from the effective date of the exclusion. 37 C.F.R. § 11.60(b).

5. The OED Director shall publish a notice in the *Official Gazette* materially consistent with the following:

Notice of Exclusion

> This notice concerns William P. Wilbar IV of Anaheim, California, who is a registered patent attorney (Registration Number 43,265). In a reciprocal disciplinary proceeding, the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") has ordered that Mr. Wilbar be excluded from practice before the USPTO in patent, trademark, and other nonpatent matters for violating 37 C.F.R. § 11.804(h), predicated upon being disbarred from the practice of law by a duly constituted authority of a State.
>
> The Supreme Court of California disbarred Mr. Wilbar following his conviction under California Health and Safety Code sections 11364(a) (possession of drug paraphernalia) and 11377(a) (possession of a controlled substance) and California Penal Code section 452(d) (unlawfully causing fire to property). Mr. Wilbar failed to file a response to the notice of hearing issued by the State Bar Court of California, and his default was entered.
>
> Mr. Wilbar's violation of the aforementioned state laws is predicated upon incidents where he was observed igniting campaign signs of a local political candidate. When he was arrested and taken into custody, Mr. Wilbar was found to be in possession of methamphetamine and associated drug paraphernalia.
>
> This action is taken pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 32 and 37 C.F.R. § 11.24. Disciplinary decisions are available for public review at the Office of Enrollment and Discipline's FOIA Reading Room, located at: https://foiadocuments.uspto.gov/oed/;

6. The OED Director give notice of the public discipline, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.59, and the reasons for the discipline to disciplinary enforcement agencies in the state(s) where Respondent is admitted to practice, to courts where Respondent is known to be admitted, and to the public;

7. Respondent shall comply with the duties enumerated in 37 C.F.R. § 11.58.

8. Respondent is granted limited recognition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.58(f) for

thirty (30) days starting on the date of this Final Order so that Respondent may endeavor to conclude work on behalf of clients on any matters pending before the Office and, if such work cannot be concluded within such thirty (30) days, Respondent shall so advise each such client so that the client may make other arrangements;

9. Effective the date of the expiration of the 30-day period of limited recognition afforded to Respondent under 37 C.F.R. § 11.58(f), the USPTO is hereby authorized to disable or suspend any USPTO.gov accounts registered to Respondent as of the date of this Final Order (including, but not limited to, all accounts that Respondent has ever established, sponsored, or used in connection with any trademark or patent matter);

10. Respondent shall not apply for a USPTO verified Electronic System account, shall not obtain a USPTO verified Electronic System account, nor shall he have his name added to a USPTO verified Electronic System account, unless and until he is reinstated to practice before the USPTO;

11. Immediately upon expiration of the 30-day period of limited recognition afforded to Respondent under § 11.58(f), Respondent is prohibited from using, assessing, or assisting others in using or accessing any USPTO.gov account(s) or other USPTO filing systems for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO;

12. Until a petition seeking Respondent's reinstatement to practice before the USPTO is granted pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.60, Respondent shall be prohibited, and the USPTO is authorized to disallow Respondent, from the following: (1) opening or activating any USPTO.gov account(s) to be used for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO; (2) applying for, or attempting to apply for any USPTO.gov account(s) to be used for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO; (3) verifying, or attempting to verify, any other person's

credentials in connection with USPTO.gov account(s) to be used for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO; and (4) sponsoring or attempting to sponsor USPTO.gov account(s) to be used for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO; and

13. Nothing herein shall obligate the USPTO to take action, *sua sponte*, to re-activate any USPTO.gov account disabled or suspended pursuant to this order; rather, it is Respondent's sole responsibility to initiate any such re-activation of any such USPTO.gov account.

TRICIA CHOE

Digitally signed by TRICIA CHOE
Date: 2026.05.07 09:28:57 -04'00'

Date

Tricia Choe
Associate General Counsel for General Law
United States Patent and Trademark Office

on delegated authority by

John A. Squires
Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Final Order pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24, was sent on this day to parties in the manner indicated below:

Via first-class certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Respondent at the most recent address provided to the OED Director pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.11(a):

Mr. William P. Wilbar IV
KPPB, LLP
2400 East Katella Avenue
Suite 1050
Anaheim, California 92806

Via e-mail to the OED Director:

Sydney Johnson
[redacted]
Counsel for the OED Director

5/7/2026
Date

United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450