UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE DIRECTOR OF THE
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Osman A. Mirza,	)	Proceeding No. D2024-8
	)	
Respondent	)	
	)	

FINAL ORDER PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 11.26

The Deputy General Counsel for Enrollment and Discipline and the Director of the Office of Enrollment and Discipline ("OED Director") for the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO" or "Office") and Mr. Osman A. Mirza ("Respondent") have submitted a Proposed Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") to the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO Director") for approval.

The Agreement, which resolves all disciplinary action by the USPTO arising from the joint stipulated facts set forth below, is hereby approved. This Final Order sets forth the parties' joint stipulated facts, joint legal conclusions, and agreed-upon sanctions.

Jurisdiction

1. At all times relevant hereto, Respondent of Milwaukee, Wisconsin, has been a registered patent attorney (Registration No. 75,511). As a registered practitioner, Respondent is subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct, 37 C.F.R. § 11.101 *et seq.*

2. The USPTO Director has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 2(b)(2)(D) and 32, and 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.19, 11.20, and 11.26.

Joint Stipulated Facts

3. The USPTO registered Respondent as an attorney (Reg. No. 75,511) on November 1, 2016.

4. Respondent was admitted to practice law in the state of Wisconsin on April 18, 2017 (State Bar of Wisconsin Member No. 1104438).[1]

5. Respondent represents that, at all relevant times, his practice focused on civil litigation, family law, criminal defense, and consumer protection matters.

[1] Respondent was also admitted to practice law in the state of Illinois on May 5, 2016. As of June 25, 2026, Respondent's Illinois registration status remains active and authorized to practice law.

6. USPTO records show that Respondent has not practiced before the Office in patent matters since March 2019. Respondent represents that he has not ever practiced before the USPTO in trademark matters.

7. On April 15, 2026, the Supreme Court of Wisconsin ordered that Respondent's license to practice law in Wisconsin be revoked effective October 30, 2023, on professional misconduct grounds for violating Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule 20:8.4(b), which provides that "[i]t is professional misconduct for a lawyer to . . . commit a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects." *See In re Disciplinary Proceedings Against Osman A. Mirza*, 2026 WI 13. (April 15, 2026) (per curiam).

8. The April 15, 2026 revocation of Respondent's law license was predicated on a criminal case, *State of Wisconsin v. Osman A. Mirza* (Waukesha County Court Case Number 2020CF001099), where, in April 2023, Respondent pled guilty to and was convicted of one count of felony stalking and one count of misdemeanor criminal trespass to dwelling, both as acts of domestic abuse (and with another nine other criminal counts being dismissed but read in at sentencing). In July 2023, Respondent was sentenced to (a) twelve (12) months of jail, stayed for three years of probation, for the felony stalking conviction, and (b) nine months of jail, stayed for two years of probation, for the criminal trespass conviction. The sentences were ordered to run concurrently to each other.

9. Under the Rules of the Wisconsin Supreme Court, a petition for reinstatement of a license that is revoked may be filed at any time commencing five years after the effective date of revocation. *See* Wisconsin Supreme Court Rules, Rule 22.29.

Additional Considerations

10. Respondent has acknowledged his ethical lapses, and accepted responsibility for his acts and omissions.

11. Respondent has fully cooperated with OED's investigation, *e.g.*, by providing *sua sponte* informative, supplemental responses to his original responses to requests for information and by agreeing to meet with OED to discuss the matter.

Joint Legal Conclusions

12. Respondent acknowledges that, based on the information contained in the Joint Stipulated Facts, above, he violated 37 C.F.R. § 11.804(h)(1) (becoming publicly disciplined on ethical or professional misconduct grounds by any duly constituted authority of a state) by being publicly disciplined on professional misconduct grounds by the Wisconsin Supreme Court in *In re Disciplinary Proceedings Against Osman A. Mirza, supra.*

Agreed-Upon Sanction

13. Respondent agrees, and it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

a. Respondent is suspended from practice before the Office in patent, trademark, and other non-patent matters for five (5) years commencing on the date of this Final Order;

b. Respondent shall comply with 37 C.F.R. § 11.58;

c. The OED Director shall electronically publish the Final Order at the OED's electronic FOIA Reading Room, which is publicly accessible through the Office's website at: https://foiadocuments.uspto.gov/oed/;

d. The OED Director shall publish a notice in the *Official Gazette* that is materially consistent with the following:

Notice of Suspension

This notice concerns Osman A. Mirza of Milwaukee, Wisconsin, who is a registered patent attorney (Registration Number 75,511) and an attorney licensed in Wisconsin (State Bar of Wisconsin Member No. 1104438). The USPTO Director has suspended Mr. Mirza for five years from practice before the USPTO in patent, trademark and other non-patent matters for violating 37 C.F.R. § 11.804(h)(1) (becoming publicly disciplined on professional misconduct grounds by a State).

On April 15, 2026, Mr. Mirza's licensed was revoked by Wisconsin effective October 30, 2023 on professional misconduct grounds for violating Wisconsin Supreme Court Rule 20:8.4(b), which provides that "[i]t is professional misconduct for a lawyer to . . . commit a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer in other respects." *See In re Disciplinary Proceedings Against Osman A. Mirza*, 2026 WI 13. (April 15, 2026)(per curiam).

The April 15, 2026 revocation of Respondent's law license was predicated on a criminal case, *State of Wisconsin v. Osman A. Mirza* (Waukesha County Court Case Number 2020CF001099) where, in April 2023, Respondent pled guilty to and was convicted of one count of felony stalking and one count of misdemeanor criminal trespass to dwelling, both as acts of domestic abuse (and with another nine other criminal counts being dismissed but read in at sentencing). In July 2023, Respondent was sentenced to (a) twelve (12) months of jail, stayed for three years of probation, for the felony stalking conviction,

and (b) nine months of jail, stayed for two years of probation, for the criminal trespass conviction. The sentences were ordered to run concurrently to each other.

Under the Rules of the Wisconsin Supreme Court, a petition for reinstatement of a license that is revoked may be filed at any time commencing five years after the effective date of revocation. *See* Wisconsin Supreme Court Rules, Rule 22.29.

Mr. Mirza fully cooperated with OED's investigation, *e.g.*, by providing full and candid responses to OED's request for information (including offering supplemental documentation *sua sponte*) and agreeing to meet with OED to discuss the matter. Mr. Mirza has acknowledged his ethical lapses, demonstrated genuine contrition, and accepted responsibility for his acts and omissions.

This action is the result of a settlement agreement between Mr. Mirza and the OED Director pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §§ 2(b)(2)(D) and 32 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.19, 11.20, and 11.26. Disciplinary decisions involving practitioners are posted for public reading at the Office of Enrollment and Discipline Reading Room accessible at: https://foiadocuments.uspto.gov/oed/;

e. The USPTO is hereby authorized to disable or suspend any USPTO.gov accounts registered to Respondent and those accounts with which he is associated as of the date of the Final Order approving this Agreement (i.e., all accounts that Respondent has ever established, sponsored, used in connection with his practice before the USPTO);

f. Respondent shall not apply for a USPTO verified Electronic System account, shall not obtain a USPTO verified Electronic System account, shall not be associated with another person's USPTO verified Electronic System account, nor shall he have his name added to a USPTO verified Electronic System account, unless and until he is reinstated to practice before the USPTO;

g. Respondent is prohibited from using, assessing, or assisting others in using or accessing any USPTO.gov accounts) or other USPTO filing systems for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO;

h. Until a petition seeking Respondent's reinstatement to practice before the USPTO is granted pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.60, Respondent shall be prohibited, and the USPTO is authorized to disallow Respondent, from the following: (1) opening or activating any USPTO.gov account(s) to be used for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO; (2) applying for, or attempting to apply for any USPTO.gov account(s) to be used for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO; (3) being

associated with another person's USPTO.gov account; (4) verifying, or attempting to verify, any other person's credentials in connection with USPTO.gov account(s) to be used for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO; and (5) sponsoring or attempting to sponsor USPTO.gov account(s) to be used for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO;

i. Nothing herein shall obligate the USPTO to take action, *sua sponte*, to re-activate any USPTO.gov account(s) disabled or suspended pursuant to this order; rather, it is Respondent's sole responsibility to initiate any such re-activation of any such USPTO.gov account(s);

j. Nothing in the Agreement or this Final Order shall prevent the Office from considering the record of this disciplinary proceeding, including the Final Order: (1) when addressing any further complaint or evidence of the same or similar misconduct concerning Respondent brought to the attention of the Office; and (2) in any future disciplinary proceeding against Respondent (i) as an aggravating factor to be taken into consideration in determining any discipline to be imposed, and/or (ii) to rebut any statement or representation by or on Respondent's behalf;

k. Respondent waives all rights to seek reconsideration of this Final Order under 37 C.F.R. § 11.56, waives the right to have this Final Order reviewed under 37 C.F.R. § 11.57, and waives the right otherwise to appeal or challenge this Final Order in any manner; and

l. Each party shall each bear their own costs incurred to date and in carrying out the terms of the Agreement and this Final Order.

TRICIA CHOE Digitally signed by TRICIA CHOE Date: 2026.08.26 08:40:24 -04'00'

Tricia Choe
Associate General Counsel for General Law
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Date

on delegated authority by

John A. Squires
Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and
Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Final Order was sent, on this day, to the parties in the manner indicated below:

Via first-class certified mail, return receipt requested and email:

Mr. Osman A. Mirza
655 N Brookfield Rd, Unit B
Brookfield, WI 53045
[redacted]
Respondent

Via email:

Sydney O. Johnson
Senior Counsel for Enrollment and Discipline Litigation
Office of the Solicitor
[redacted]

Paul Nguyen-Ba
Senior Counsel for Patent and Trademark Disciplinary Matters
Office of Enrollment and Discipline
paul.nguyen-ba@uspto.gov

8/26/2026
Date

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450