

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Matter of:)	
)	
Vladimir Gagic,)	Proceeding No. D2024-17
)	
Respondent)	
_____)	

FINAL ORDER PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 11.24

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24, Vladimir Gagic (“Respondent”) is hereby suspended from the practice of patent, trademark, and other non-patent law before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO” or “Office”), for violation of 37 C.F.R. § 11.804(h).

Background

On September 24, 2024, a “Notice and Order Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24” (“Notice and Order”) was sent by certified mail (receipt nos. 70212720000212283249 and 70212720000212283232) notifying Respondent that the Director of the Office of Enrollment and Discipline (“OED Director”) had filed a “Complaint for Reciprocal Discipline Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24” (“Complaint”) requesting that the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office impose reciprocal discipline upon Respondent identical to the discipline imposed by the Order of the Supreme Court of Arizona suspending Respondent for one (1) year from the practice of law in Arizona in view of allegations of misconduct set forth in the Arizona Disciplinary Counsel’s statement of alleged conduct in *In the Matter of Vladimir Gagic*, No. SB-22-0085-AP (Ariz. May 3, 2023). The Notice and Order provided Respondent an opportunity to file, within forty (40) days, a response opposing the imposition of reciprocal discipline identical to that imposed by the Supreme Court of Arizona in *In the Matter of Vladimir Gagic*, No. SB-22-0085-AP (Ariz. May 3, 2023), based on one or more of the reasons provided in 37 C.F.R.

§ 11.24(d)(1).

The Notice and Order was not able to be delivered to the Respondent. The Notice and Order was thus also published in the Official Gazette on December 31, 2024 and January 7, 2025. Respondent has not filed a response to the Notice and Order.

Analysis

In light of Respondent's failure to file a response, it is hereby determined that there is no genuine issue of material fact under 37 C.F.R. § 11.24(d) and Respondent's suspension from the practice of patent, trademark, and other non-patent law for one (1) year before the USPTO is the appropriate discipline.

ACCORDINGLY, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Respondent is suspended from the practice of patent, trademark, and other non-patent law before the USPTO for one (1) year;
2. Respondent is granted limited recognition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.58(f) for thirty (30) days starting on the date of this Final Order so that Respondent may endeavor to conclude work on behalf of clients on any matters pending before the Office and, if such work cannot be concluded within such thirty (30) days, Respondent shall so advise each such client so that the client may make other arrangements;
3. The OED Director shall electronically publish the Final Order at OED's electronic FOIA Reading Room, which is publicly accessible at: <http://foiadocuments.uspto.gov>;
4. The OED Director publish a notice in the *Official Gazette* materially consistent with the following:

Notice of Suspension

This notice concerns Vladimir Gagic of Mesa, Arizona, who is a registered patent attorney (Registration Number 47,583). In a reciprocal disciplinary

proceeding, the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) has ordered that Mr. Gagic be suspended from practice before the USPTO in patent, trademark, and other non-patent matters for one (1) year for violating 37 C.F.R. § 11.804(h), predicated upon being suspended from the practice of law on ethical grounds by a duly constituted authority of a State.

Mr. Gagic was suspended by Order of the Supreme Court of Arizona for one (1) year from the practice of law in Arizona in view of allegations of misconduct set forth in the Arizona Disciplinary Counsel’s statement of alleged conduct in *In the Matter of Vladimir Gagic*, No. SB-22-0085-AP (Ariz. May 3, 2023). According to the ruling in that case, Mr. Gagic violated Arizona Rules of Supreme Court 41(b)(7) (advancing a fact prejudicial to the honor or reputation of a party or witness unless required by duties to a client or tribunal) and Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct ER 3.5(d) (conduct likely to disrupt a tribunal), ER 8.2(a) (making false statements about the qualifications or integrity of a judge), and ER 8.4(d) (conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice). He violated these rules by writing motions and other procedural documents containing inflammatory statements regarding the presiding judge in a case where Mr. Gagic represented the defendant, and also violated these rules by filing frivolous counterclaims and motions.

This action is taken pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 32 and 37 C.F.R. § 11.24. Disciplinary decisions are available for public review at the Office of Enrollment and Discipline’s FOIA Reading Room, located at: <https://foiadocuments.uspto.gov/oed/>.

5. Effective the date of the expiration of the 30-day period of limited recognition afforded to Respondent under 37 C.F.R. § 11.58(f), the USPTO is hereby authorized to disable or suspend any USPTO.gov accounts registered to Respondent as of the date of this Final Order (including, but not limited to, all accounts that Respondent has ever established, sponsored, or used in connection with any trademark or patent matter);

6. Respondent shall not apply for a USPTO verified Electronic System account, shall not obtain a USPTO verified Electronic System account, nor shall he have his name added to a USPTO verified Electronic System account, unless and until he is reinstated to practice before the USPTO;

7. Immediately upon expiration of the 30-day period of limited recognition afforded to Respondent under § 11.58(f), Respondent is prohibited from using, assessing, or assisting others in using or accessing any USPTO.gov account(s) or other USPTO filing systems for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO;

8. Until a petition seeking Respondent's reinstatement to practice before the USPTO is granted pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.60, Respondent shall be prohibited, and the USPTO is authorized to disallow Respondent, from the following: (1) opening or activating any USPTO.gov account(s) to be used for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO; (2) applying for, or attempting to apply for any USPTO.gov account(s) to be used for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO; (3) verifying, or attempting to verify, any other person's credentials in connection with USPTO.gov account(s) to be used for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO; and (4) sponsoring or attempting to sponsor USPTO.gov account(s) to be used for preparing or filing documents with the USPTO; and

9. Nothing herein shall obligate the USPTO to take action, *sua sponte*, to re-activate any USPTO.gov account disabled or suspended pursuant to this order; rather, it is Respondent's sole responsibility to initiate any such re-activation of any such USPTO.gov account.

Users,
Boston, Louis

Digitally signed by Users,
Boston, Louis
Date: 2025.04.08 09:45:53
-04'00'

Date

Louis J. Boston Jr.
Associate General Counsel for General Law
United States Patent and Trademark Office

on delegated authority by

Coke Morgan Stewart
Acting Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and
Acting Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Final Order was sent, on this day, to parties in the manner indicated below:


Via first-class certified mail, return receipt requested, to Respondent at the most recent address provided to the OED Director pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.11(a):

Mr. Vladimir Gagic
Law Office of Vladimir Gagic
3035 South Ellsworth
Suite 144
Mesa, Arizona 85212

and to an address of public record reasonably believed to be used by Respondent in connection with his law practice:



Via e-mail to the OED Director:

Sydney Johnson

Counsel for the OED Director

4/8/2025
Date


United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450