UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
Alan L. Chow,	)	Proceeding No. D2026-22
	)	
Respondent	)	
	)	

FINAL ORDER PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 11.24

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24, Alan L. Chow ("Respondent") is hereby reprimanded by the USPTO ("USPTO" or "Office"). Respondent's reciprocal discipline is predicated on his violation of 37 C.F.R. § 11.804(h), having been disciplined by a duly constituted authority of a state.

Background

On May 20, 2026, a "Notice and Order Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24" ("Notice and Order") was sent by certified mail (receipt no. 7021 2720 0002 1229 2470) notifying Respondent that the Director of the Office of Enrollment and Discipline ("OED Director") had filed a "Complaint for Reciprocal Discipline Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24" ("Complaint") requesting that the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office impose reciprocal discipline upon Respondent identical to the discipline imposed by the Order of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Board of Bar Overseers in *Bar Counsel v. Alan L. Chow*, PR-2025-3 (Bd. of Bar Overseers, S.J.C. Mass. May 5, 2025) reprimanding Respondent in that jurisdiction on ethical grounds. The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Board of Bar Overseers publicly reprimanded Respondent for mishandling a client's trademark matter; failing to communicate with the client and failing to keep the client reasonably informed regarding the trademark matters entrusted to his care; failing to promptly comply with the client's requests for information; and making a misrepresentation to the client as to what actions he had taken in the client's matter.

The Notice and Order provided Respondent an opportunity to file, within forty (40) days, a response opposing the imposition of reciprocal discipline identical to that imposed by the Order of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Board of Bar Overseers in *Bar Counsel v. Alan L. Chow*, PR-2025-3 (Bd. of Bar Overseers, S.J.C. Mass. May 5, 2025), based on one or more of the reasons provided in 37 C.F.R. § 11.24(d)(1).

The Notice and Order was delivered on June 1, 2026 to the Respondent. Respondent has not filed a response to the Notice and Order.

Analysis

In light of Respondent's failure to file a response, it is hereby determined that there is no genuine issue of material fact under 37 C.F.R. § 11.24(d) and Respondent's reprimand is the appropriate discipline.

ACCORDINGLY, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Respondent is hereby publicly reprimanded;

2. The OED Director shall electronically publish this Final Order at the OED's electronic FOIA Reading Room, which is publicly accessible through the Office's website at: https://foiadocuments.uspto.gov/oed/; and

3. The OED Director shall publish a notice in the Official Gazette materially consistent with the following:

Notice of Reprimand

> This notice concerns Alan L. Chow of Swampscott, Massachusetts, a Massachusetts licensed attorney (#697099) who engaged in practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") in trademark and non-patent matters. In a reciprocal disciplinary proceeding, the Director of the USPTO has ordered that Mr. Chow be reprimanded on ethical grounds for violating 37 C.F.R. § 11.804(h) predicated upon being reprimanded from the practice of law by a duly constituted authority of a

State on ethical grounds. Mr. Chow is not authorized to practice before the USPTO in patent matters.

In *Bar Counsel v. Alan L. Chow, PR-2025-3* (Bd. of Bar Overseers, S.J.C. Mass. May 5, 2025), the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Board of Bar Overseers publicly reprimanded Mr. Chow for mishandling a client's trademark matter; failing to communicate with the client and failing to keep the client reasonably informed regarding the trademark matters entrusted to his care; failing to promptly comply with the client's requests for information; and making a misrepresentation to the client as to what actions he had taken in her matter. In mitigation, the Board of Bar Overseers stated that Mr. Chow was suffering from depression and anxiety during this period, and those conditions contributed to his neglect of the applications.

This action is taken pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 32 and 37 C.F.R. § 11.24. Disciplinary decisions are available for public review at the Office of Enrollment and Discipline's FOIA Reading Room, located at: https://foiadocuments.uspto.gov/oed/.

TRICIA CHOE

Digitally signed by TRICIA CHOE
Date: 2026.07.15 08:31:30 -04'00'

Date

Tricia Choe
Associate General Counsel for General Law
United States Patent and Trademark Office

on delegated authority by

John A. Squires
Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Final Order pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24 was sent on this day to parties in the manner indicated below:

Via first-class certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Respondent at the address listed by the Massachusetts state bar for Respondent:

Mr. Alan L. Chow
Law Office of Alan L. Chow
128 Burrill Street, Unit C
Swampscott, Massachusetts 01907

Via e-mail to the OED Director:

Sydney Johnson
[redacted]
Counsel for the OED Director

7/15/2026
Date

Latoia L Nicholas
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450