

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Matter of:)
)
Amid Timothy Bahadori,) Proceeding No. D2023-07
)
Respondent)
_____)

FINAL ORDER PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 11.24

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24, Amid Timothy Bahadori (“Respondent”) is hereby excluded from the practice of trademark and other non-patent law before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO” or “Office”), for violation of 37 C.F.R. § 11.804(h).

Background

On July 17, 2023, a “Notice and Order Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24” (“Notice and Order”) was sent by certified mail (receipt nos. 70220410000298969243 and 70220410000298969250) notifying Respondent that the Director of the Office of Enrollment and Discipline (“OED Director”) had filed a “Complaint for Reciprocal Discipline Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24” (“Complaint”) requesting that the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office impose reciprocal discipline upon Respondent identical to the discipline imposed by the June 9, 2022 Order of the Supreme Court of California in *In re Amid Timothy Bahadori on Discipline*, Case No. S273538, wherein the Supreme Court of California disbarred Respondent from the practice of law in that jurisdiction. The Notice and Order provided Respondent an opportunity to file, within forty (40) days, a response opposing the imposition of reciprocal discipline identical to that imposed by the June 9, 2022 Order of the Supreme Court of California in *In re Amid Timothy Bahadori on Discipline*, Case No. S273538, based on one or more of the reasons

provided in 37 C.F.R. § 11.24(d)(1).

The Notice and Order was delivered to Respondent on August 3, 2023. Respondent has not filed a response to the Notice and Order.

Analysis

In light of Respondent's failure to file a response, it is hereby determined that there is no genuine issue of material fact under 37 C.F.R. § 11.24(d) and Respondent's exclusion from the practice of trademark and other non-patent matters before the USPTO is the appropriate discipline.

ACCORDINGLY, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Respondent is excluded from the practice of trademark and other non-patent matters before the USPTO;
2. Respondent shall make restitution to the following payees or such other recipient as may be designated by the Office of Probation or the State Bar Court (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the Fund to such payees, in accordance with California Business and Professions Code section 6140.5): (1) Dana Alexandra Lozano and Miguel Lozano, husband and wife, in the amount of \$1,300 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 8, 2018; (2) Susan Harper in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 1, 2017; and (3) Mario Curti in the amount of \$512,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 29, 2020;
3. The OED Director shall electronically publish the Final Order at OED's electronic FOIA Reading Room, which is publicly accessible at: <http://foiadocuments.uspto.gov>;
4. The OED Director publish a Notice in the *Official Gazette* that is materially consistent with the following:

Notice of Exclusion

This notice concerns Amid Timothy Bahadori of Newport Beach, California, who is authorized to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) in trademark and non-patent matters. In a reciprocal disciplinary proceeding, the Director of the USPTO has ordered that Mr. Bahadori be excluded from practice before the USPTO in trademark and other non-patent matters for violating 37 C.F.R. § 11.804(h), predicated upon being disbarred from the practice of law by a duly constituted authority of a State. He is also ordered to make restitution to three clients financially affected by his professional misconduct. Mr. Bahadori is not authorized to practice before the USPTO in patent matters.

The disbarment was based on ethical violations in seven different client matters in which Mr. Bahadori failed to perform the legal services he was hired to perform, created and forwarded fabricated documents, provided false information to the clients, and failed to return unearned fees upon his termination. The Supreme Court of California found that Mr. Bahadori violated California’s Business and Professions Code, section 6106 (intentionally committing an act involving moral turpitude), section 6068(m) (failing to keep a client reasonably informed of significant developments in a matter in which Mr. Bahadori agreed to provide legal services), section 6103 (disobeying or violating a court order), and section 6068(i) (willfully failing to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation).

The Court also found that Mr. Bahadori violated former Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A) (intentionally failing to perform legal services with competence), and rule 3-700(D)(2) (failing to return an advanced fee upon termination of employment). Additionally, the Court also found Mr. Bahadori violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1.16(e)(2) (failing to return an unearned advanced fee upon termination of employment), and rule 1.15(d)(4) (failing to promptly account in writing to a client or other person for whom he held funds or property), and rule 1.1 (failing to perform services with competence).

This action is taken pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 32 and 37 C.F.R. § 11.24. Disciplinary decisions are available for public review at the Office of Enrollment and Discipline’s FOIA Reading Room, located at: <https://foiadocuments.uspto.gov/oed/>;

5. The OED Director give notice of the public discipline, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.59, and the reasons for the discipline to disciplinary enforcement agencies in the state(s) where Respondent is admitted to practice, to courts where Respondent is known to be admitted, and to the public;

6. Respondent shall comply with the duties enumerated in 37 C.F.R. § 11.58; and

7. The USPTO shall dissociate Respondent's name from any Customer Number(s) and USPTO verified Electronic System account(s), if any.

Users, Shewchuk, David
Digitally signed by Users, Shewchuk, David
Date: 2023.09.13 09:46:31 -04'00'

Date

David Shewchuk
Deputy General Counsel for General Law
United States Patent and Trademark Office

on delegated authority by

Katherine K. Vidal
Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Final Order pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 11.24 was mailed by first-class certified mail, return receipt requested, on this day to the Respondent at the address listed by the State Bar of California for Respondent:

Mr. Amid Bahadori
Bahadori Law Group, P.C.
590 Macarthur Blvd. Ste 500
Newport Beach, CA 92660-2028

and to where the OED Director reasonably believes Respondent receives mail:

Mr. Amid Bahadori
[REDACTED]

9/13/2023

Date



United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450